

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,569	11/15/2001	Shuntaro Aratani	35.G2934	7348
5514	7590 09/06/2006		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER	YENKE, BRIAN P		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2622	

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 -		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/987,569	ARATANI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2622				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPINS OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 July</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) <u>46-61</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>46-61</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the formula drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the formula drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
			7.00.017 01 1011111 1 10 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	k(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection based upon applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 46-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ihara et al., US 2003/0164976 in view of Valdez, Jr., US 6,426,778.

In considering claims 46 and 51,

Ihara discloses a television receiving apparatus (set-top box 3) which is connected to a printer device (5) (Figs 1-2), which communicate to each other via a IEEE 1394 interface.

Ihara discloses receiving receive broadcast information which is demodulated (11), descrambled (12) and converted into data pursuant to the IEEE 1394 standard (para 72). When the user desires to issue a print command to print data pertaining to the broadcast (para 97) the CPU 23 of the set top box specifies the type of seet, quality color layout in accordance with the picture displayed on the television device 4. Ihara also discloses that the set top box communicates the control parameters to the printer wherein the set top box receives information

from the printer wherein if the printer is able to accept the operation mode parameters (paras, 103, 112-114, 171). Ihara also discloses that the printer status may be display the contents/type/parameters (para 95) in addition to the picture.

However, Ihara does not explicitly recite receiving markup language in the received broadcast. Although the reception of such is notoriously well known in the art, the examiner nonetheless incorporates Valdez, Jr., US 6,426,778 which is of the same field of endeavor, which discloses the concept of markup language being received within a TV broadcast, wherein a browser/computer can control the picture in response to the control data received by both the picture and markup data.

Therefore, it would have been clearly obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that since Ihara discloses a system which receives broadcast signals, to also process all the data included in such signals such as the additional markup language data, since the inclusion of such is to provide the user additional information/products/services which may be of interest to such.

In considering claims 47-50 and 52-61,

As stated above, Ihara discloses a system which customizes the print function based upon received picture information, which includes display layout/style format (paras 97, 112-114, 121-126 and 154)

As stated in the previous rejection, with regard to an IEEE 1394 interface between devices, the printer receives/transmits information to the display (as would be a conventional printer with a computer monitor), wherein it would provide the user prompts, notifying if paper was out, printing is completed, not compatible or the printer has stopped, these are all functions

associated which are previously known. In the event the applicant argues that these are not known, the examiner would like the applicant to clarify such on the record in addition to the disclosure for such in the application, in order to expedite prosecution.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,569

Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

Page 6

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS). PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pregrant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

04 September 2006